

DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP  
Barbara A. Caulfield ([bcaulfield@dl.com](mailto:bcaulfield@dl.com)) SBN 108999  
Peter E. Root ([proot@dl.com](mailto:proot@dl.com)) SBN 142348  
1950 University Avenue, Suite 500  
East Palo Alto, California 94303  
Telephone: (650) 845-7000  
Facsimile: (650) 845-7333

DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP  
1101 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: (202) 346-8000  
Facsimile: (202) 346-8102

DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP  
1301 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 259-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 259-6333

*Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS  
SYSTEMS, INC. DERIVATIVE  
LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:  
  
ALL ACTIONS

Case No. C-05-02233 CRB

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER RE: DISMISSAL OF  
REMAINING CLAIMS AGAINST  
DEFENDANT GREGORY L. REYES  
PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT**

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE:  
DISMISSAL OF REMAINING CLAIMS AGAINST REYES  
CASE NO.: C-05-02233 CRB

1 This Stipulation is made by and between plaintiff Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.  
2 (“Brocade”), through the Special Litigation Committee of its Board of Directors (the “SLC”), and  
3 defendant Gregory L. Reyes (“Reyes”).

4 **RECITALS**

5 WHEREAS, beginning in June 2005, certain shareholder derivative actions were commenced  
6 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California asserting a variety of claims  
7 arising from Brocade’s historical equity options compensation practices and related matters, which  
8 actions were assigned to this Court and consolidated as *In re Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.*  
9 *Derivative Litigation*, No. 05-cv-2233-CRB (the “Consolidated Federal Derivative Action”);

10 WHEREAS, the SLC, acting on behalf of Brocade, filed a Second Amended Complaint in  
11 the Consolidated Federal Derivative Action on August 1, 2008, asserting claims on behalf of  
12 Brocade against ten defendants, including Reyes;

13 WHEREAS, on October 6, 2008, Reyes and the other nine defendants each filed a motion to  
14 dismiss the Second Amended Complaint;

15 WHEREAS, on December 12, 2008, this Court issued an Order, supplemented by an opinion  
16 issued January 6, 2009, in which the Court dismissed all claims against Reyes with the exception of  
17 the Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, and Eleventh Causes of Action alleging various breaches of  
18 fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment;

19 WHEREAS, Brocade and Reyes entered into a settlement agreement on August 14, 2009 (the  
20 “Settlement Agreement”);

21 WHEREAS, on October 9, 2009, Brocade submitted a copy of the Settlement Agreement to  
22 this Court, and filed a motion for approval of the settlement and entry of a Complete Bar Order  
23 barring contribution claims;

24 WHEREAS, on December 21, 2009, this Court entered an Order Approving Settlement And  
25 Entry Of Complete Bar Order As To Defendant Gregory L. Reyes;

26 WHEREAS, Reyes has made full payment of the settlement consideration that he agreed to  
27 pay in the Settlement Agreement;

1 WHEREAS, the Settlement Agreement provides that Brocade shall move the Court for an  
2 order dismissing with prejudice the remaining claims against Reyes in the Consolidated Federal  
3 Derivative Action;

4 WHEREAS, the Settlement Agreement further provides that Brocade and Reyes will request  
5 the Court to retain continuing and exclusive jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Complete Bar  
6 Order and the Settlement Agreement;

7 WHEREAS, Brocade and Reyes request the Court to retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms  
8 of their Settlement Agreement and the Complete Bar Order under the authority of *Kokkonen v.*  
9 *Guardian Life Insurance Co. of America*, 511 U.S. 375, 381-82 (1994).

10 NOW, THEREFORE, Brocade and Reyes, through their respective undersigned counsel,  
11 hereby stipulate, subject to the Court's approval, as follows:

12 1. All remaining claims against Reyes in the Consolidated Federal Derivative Action,  
13 *i.e.*, the Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, and Eleventh Causes of Action of the Second Amended  
14 Complaint, shall be and hereby are dismissed with prejudice.

15 2. Brocade and Reyes shall comply with the terms of their Settlement Agreement.

16 3. By consent of Brocade and Reyes, the Court shall retain jurisdiction for purposes of  
17 enforcing the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Complete Bar Order.

18  
19 Dated: February 1, 2010

DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP

20  
21 By: /s/ Peter E. Root

Peter E. Root

22 Attorneys For Plaintiff  
23 Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.

24 Dated: February 1, 2010

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

25  
26 By: /s/ John H. Hemann

John H. Hemann

27 Attorneys For Defendant Gregory L. Reyes

28 - 2 -

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE:  
DISMISSAL OF REMAINING CLAIMS AGAINST REYES  
CASE NO.: C-05-02233 CRB

**ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45**

I, Peter E. Root, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Dismissal of Remaining Claims Against Defendant Gregory L. Reyes Pursuant to Settlement. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 1<sup>st</sup> day of February 2010, at East Palo Alto, California.

/s/ Peter E. Root

Peter E. Root

**ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 2, 2010

